2 3 4 5 6 7	bconnell@gcalaw.com SALLIE KIM, Cal. State Bar No. 142781 skim@gcalaw.com GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP 1891 Landings Drive Mountain View, CA 94043 (650) 428-3900 (650) 428-3901 [fax] Attorneys for Defendants QAD Inc., John Doord Lai Foon Lee, and William D. Connell			
8	AN AMERICA COM A MEDI		T GOLUDE	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	[San Francisco Division]			
12				
13	MANI SUBRAMANIAN, as an individual etc.,	Case No.	08-cv-1426-VRW	[ECF]
14 15	Plaintiff,			
16	vs.			
17	ST. PAUL FIRE AND MARINE	Date:	October 9, 2008	
18	INSURANCE COMPANY, et al. (including QAD INC., a Delaware Corporation with principal place of business in California; JOHN DOORDAN, an individual and citizen of California; LAI FOON LEE, an individual and citizen of California; ROLAND DESILETS, an individual and citizen of New Jersey; and, WILLIAM D. CONNELL, an individual and	Time:	2:30 p.m.	
19		Dept:	Courtroom 6	
		Judge:	Hon. Vaughn R.	Walker
20				
21	citizen of California),			
22	Defendants.			
23				
24	NOTICE OF MOT	ION BY DI	EFENDANTS	LECON
25	QAD INC., WILLIAM D. CONNELL,	JUHN DU	JUKUAN, AND LA	TEOON

TO DISMISS COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FRCP RULE 12(b)(6)

26

27

28

## TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on October 9, 2008, at 2:30 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, before The Hon. Vaughn R. Walker, in Courtroom No. 6, on the 17<sup>th</sup> Floor, United States District Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, defendants QAD INC., JOHN DOORDAN, LAI FOON LEE, and WILLIAM D. CONNELL (collectively "QAD-Related Defendants") will and hereby do move the Court in the above-captioned matter for an Order dismissing the Complaint, and each and every cause of action therein, on the grounds set forth below.

The QAD-Related Defendants make this Motion pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6), and on the grounds that: (1) the Complaint, and each and every purported cause of action therein, fail to state any claim upon which relief can be granted, in that:

- 1. The Complaint, and each and every purported cause of action therein, are barred by the doctrine of *res judicata*;
- 2. The First Cause of Action (to set aside this Court's Order dismissing the claims in Case No. 04-1249-VRW) should be dismissed as duplicative litigation and lacking any justification;
- 3. The Second, Fourth, and Fifth Causes of Action are barred by the statutes of limitations respectively applicable to each;
  - 4. The Second Cause of Action is barred by the *Noerr-Pennington* doctrine; and,
- 5. The Complaint, and each and every purported cause of action therein, fail to state facts sufficient to set forth a claim for relief.

The Motion is based on this Notice of Motion, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Request for Judicial Notice, with exhibits, filed by the QAD-Related Defendants concurrently herewith, the Complaint on file herein, and such further matters and argument, oral or written, as the Court may entertain at the hearing hereof.

27 | | ///

///

QAD Defendants' Notice of Motion to Dismiss [FRCP 12(b)(6)]

Dated: August 4, 2008 WILLIAM D. CONNELL SALLIE KIM GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP By: William D. Connell William D. Connell By: Sallie Kim\_ Sallie Kim Attorneys for Defendants QAD Inc., John Doordan, Lai Foon Lee, and William D. Connell 

QAD Defendants' Notice of Motion to Dismiss [FRCP 12(b)(6)]